ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಶಿವಪ್ಪ.–ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರೇ, ಮಾನ್ಯ ಹಣಕಾಸಿನ ಸಚಿವರು ರಾಜ್ಯಪಾಲರ ಭವನಕ್ಕೆ ಅವರ ಒಂದು ಉಪಭೋಗಕ್ಕೆ ಹೆಚ್ಚಿನ ಹಣವನ್ನು ಖರ್ಚುಮಾಡುತ್ತಿರುವುದನ್ನು ಇವರು ಪುಷ್ಟೀಕರಿಸುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಹೋಗುತ್ತಿದ್ದಾರೆ.' ಈ ದಿವಸ ದೇಶ ಕ್ಷಾಮದ ದವಡೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸಿಕ್ಕಿ ದೇಶದಲ್ಲಿರತಕ್ಕ ಜನರು ಕ್ಷಾಮದಿಂದ ಕಂಗಾಲಾಗಿರುವುದರ ಕಡೆ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಹೆಚ್ಚಿನ ಗಮನವನ್ನು ಕೊಡದೆ, ಈ ದಿವಸ ಲಕ್ಷಾಂತರ ಜನರು ಊಟಕ್ಕಿಲ್ಲದೆ ಉಪವಾಸಮಾಡುತ್ತಿರುವುದರ ಕಡೆಗೆ ತಮ್ಮ ಗಮನ ಕೊಡದೆ ಹೇಗೆ ಹಣವನ್ನು ಅವರ ಒಂದು ಭೋಗ ವಿಲಾಸಕ್ಕೆ ಖರ್ಚುಮಾಡುವುದನ್ನೇ ಅವರು ಪುಷ್ಟೀಕರಿಸುತ್ತಿರುವುದನ್ನು ನಾವು ತೀವ್ರವಾಗಿ ಪ್ರತಿಭಟಿಸಬೇಕಾಗಿದೆ. ಈ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ನಮ್ಮ ಪ್ರತಿಭಟನೆಯನ್ನು ತೋರಿಸಲು ನಾವು ಕೆಲವು ನಿಮಿಷಗಳ ಕಾಲ ಸಭಾತ್ಯಾಗ ಮಾಡುತ್ತೇವೆ.

[Members of opposition withdrew from the House]

Sri B. D. JATTI.—Sir, I clarified this position because, for the present the Governor is residing in the residency building. So long as he resides there, all necessary arrangements will have to be made according to the standards approved by the President. So, it may not be necessary for us to spend the money which is provided for every item, but according to the standards prescribed, provisions have been made.

Regarding the case of Sri M. H. Bellary, many of the Hon'ble members wanted to know as to why the Government of Mysore did not take the opinion of the Law Department in the matter. In this case, the Government of Mysore has taken the opinion of the Law Department; without taking the opinion of the Law Department, we have not at all proceeded. This is a case not pertaining to the Mysore State. This is a case which arose when Sri M. H. Bellary was working as a Government servant in the erstwhile State of Bombay. He had represented to the then Government of Bombay that he should be promoted. But, the then Government of Bombay did not agree to promote him and he could not get his promotion. Then, he went to the High Court. In the meanwhile, when this case was pending, he was allotted to the new Mysore State on 1st November 1956. So, this case was to be attended by the Government of Mysore since he happened to be the allottee to the Government of Mysore. Before the case was argued in this High Court, it was referred to the Law Department and on the advice of the Law Department the case was conducted. The case was decided in favour of Sri M H. Bellary. After that, the Government of Mysore was asked to pay Rs. 10,000 - pending appeal before the Supreme Court. So, as per the direction of the High Court, the Government of Mysore had to pay Rs. 10,000/- Then the matter went up to the Supreme Court and the Supreme Court has also decided in favour of Sri Bellary. In the meanwhile, before final accounts and costs were settled, he was badly in need of money-he came personally and requested that the Government should pay part payment of at least 25 percent pending settlement of the entire claim. So, Government has agreed to pay him Rs. 25,000/- There was a provision of Rs. 5,000 and an additional sum of Rs 15,000 was needed. In order to make up Rs. 20,000, Rs. 15,000 have been included in the Supplementary Estimates as a new item.

Demand No. 20 Item No. 2: That was with reference to Alkaline and Acid Soils. Many of the Hon'ble Members wanted to know what